

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL 998565☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2004/11/30 : CIA-RDP78B04770A000900040016-3
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

25X

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

4 January 1967

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

Final

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Research in Vision & Related Areas

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE



YES



NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐ YES☒ NO☐ COST ☐ AWARD FEE ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ DELIVERYNOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☒ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☒ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MANUALS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

TDS

INS

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

XX

1. As reported previously, the level of effort on this FY-1966 time and materials contract was considerably lower than anticipated. Nevertheless the literature search conducted by [] on visual factors related, to image interpretation proved to be a worthwhile, interim effort preceding the current, larger state-of-the-art investigation []

2. Two separate formal reports were submitted by [] during the course of the contract. These documents covered selected topics including visual acuity and environmental factors affecting it, the human visual system as a servomechanism, rear projection screen dithering and visual interaction, photo interpretation error keys, visual display format and search procedures, the interpretation man-machine interface, color vision, stereoscopic viewing, and visual fatigue.

3. Additionally, several useful appendices were submitted consisting of abstracts and comments on the SPIE Symposium, "The Human in the Photo Optical System", an annotated bibliography on human visual processes, and a membership list of the Armed Forces National Research Council Committee on Vision. More informal responses were also received in contribution to knowledge in the areas of spectra-zonal reconnaissance and interpretation, laser eye hazards, and relevant technical conference scheduling.

4. In evaluating the total productivity of this contract, it must be emphasized that, in essence, [] was tasked merely with performing a very preliminary state-of-the-art review. [] has since acquired the more complete job of compiling a total taxonomy under the Human Factors contract. [] effort, although unsophisticated and somewhat disorganized, must be assessed as a positive step in the direction of NPIC's acquiring a grasp of psychological and physiological variables as they affect image interpreter performance and efficiency.

5. The primary personnel employed on this program were somewhat less than optimal, but for very different reasons: [] the principal investigator, proved to be extremely conscientious and cooperative, but suffered from the lack of extensive training in the behavioral sciences. [] on the other hand, whose former affiliation with NPIC was the primary reason for his consultatory deployment, could have contributed his knowledge of Center interpretation procedures and problems more effectively.

6. In summary, it must be concluded that [] performance on this project, while of some significant benefit to NPIC, was satisfactory but certainly not outstanding. The [] manpower resources in the human factors area were minimal, and although funding may be low, the allocation of future efforts of this type to organizations not fully versed in both the theoretical and the applied disciplines of interest is not deemed as being cost-effective.

FINAL ☐INTERIM ☐

NARRATIVE REPORT